



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,238	03/19/2004	Jens Ole Andersen	81421-4035	6603
28765 7590 12/18/2009 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
EXAMINER VANATTA, AMY B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3765				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/18/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@winston.com
mwalker@winston.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/804,238 Examiner Amy B. Vanatta	Applicant(s) ANDERSEN, JENS OLE <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 3765</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 3765	
Art Unit 3765				

All Participants:

(1) Amy B. Vanatta

(2) Alan Fanucci

Date of Interview: 14 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: Final rej., Notice of Appeal filed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:30pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejection of claims as based upon defective reissue declaration

Claims discussed:
 6, 12

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner informed applicant that the reissue declaration filed 6/23/09 is defective since it fails to identify a specific error upon which the reissue is based. The manner in which the reissue declaration may be corrected was discussed generally.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Amy B Vanatta/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)